

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT SEATTLE

11 JAMES OSBORNE and PATRICIA
12 OSBORNE,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 SIERRA PACIFIC INDUSTRIES,
16 INC., et al.,

17 Defendants.

18 CASE NO. C24-1018 MJP

19 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
20 CONTINUE TRIAL AND CASE
21 SCHEDULE

22 This matter comes before the Court on the Parties' Stipulated Motion for Trial
23 Continuance and For Issuance of Amended Case Scheduling Order. (Dkt. No. 14.) Having
24 reviewed the Motion and all supporting materials, the Court DENIES the Motion. As the Parties
25 are aware, Rule 16(b)(4) requires good cause to amend the Court's case schedule. Here, the
26 Parties ask for a six month extension of the trial date and pretrial deadlines because: (1) it will
27 allow for "continued discovery"; (2) Plaintiffs may wish to join additional parties; (3) no
28 requests to extend have been filed; and (4) the Parties might use the additional time to engage in

1 settlement discussions. None of these reasons forms the basis of good cause. First, discovery in
2 this case does not end until May 19, 2025, and the Parties have not explained why they cannot
3 complete discovery in the remaining time allotted. They say nothing about what discovery has
4 been conducted and what specific discovery cannot be completed before May 19, 2025. Second,
5 to the extent Plaintiffs wish to add new parties, they have not indicated any reason why the Court
6 should extend this deadline which already expired on November 29, 2024. The mere possibility
7 of adding new parties is not a basis to extend the expired deadline. Third, the Court is disinclined
8 to extend any case deadline to indulge the Parties' desire to engage in settlement negotiations.
9 The Court strongly believes that deadlines settle cases, not extensions. And with a trial date in
10 October, there is ample time for the Parties to negotiate a settlement this matter without
11 extending any case deadline.

12 On the record presented, the Court DENIES the Motion without prejudice. Should the
13 Parties renew their request, it must be supported by evidence of their diligence and specific
14 reasons why they cannot meet the case deadlines.

15 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.

16 Dated February 5, 2025.

17 

18 Marsha J. Pechman
United States Senior District Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24